## **REMARKS**

## I. Status of Claims

Claims 1, 10 and 19 have been amended.

Claims 3, 12 and 21 have been cancelled

Claims 1, 2, 4-11, 13-20 and 22-25 are thus pending in the application.

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-2, 6, 9-11, 15, 18-20 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,658,045 to <u>Jin</u> in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,795,452 to <u>Iancu</u>.

Claims 3-5, 7-8, 12-14, 16, 17, 21, 22, 24 and 25 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but was indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## II. Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The Examiner rejected claims 1-2, 6, 9-11, 15, 18-20 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Jin</u> in view of <u>Iancu</u>.

Applicants respectfully submit that in order to advance the prosecution of this application, claims 1, 10 and 19 have been amended to include the limitations of claims 3, 12 and 21, respectively. Claims 3, 12 and 21 have been cancelled and claims 4 and 22 have been amended to insure proper dependency accordingly.

In view of the above amendments, the Examiner's prior art rejections should now be withdrawn.

Appl. No. 10/657,698 Amdt. dated May 8, 2007 Reply to Office Action of February 8, 2007

In view of the above, it is believed that the above-identified application is in condition for allowance, and notice to that effect is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner have any questions, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

Demetra Smith/Stewart

Attorney of Record Reg. No. 47,354

Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P. 1300 19<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036-2680 (202) 659-9076

Dated: May 8, 2007